'		Applicati	
	Intervious s	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Interview Summary	09/504,082	SASAKI, GEN
		Examiner	Art Unit
1	All many training	Yogesh K Aggarwal	1
	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	2	2615
	(1) Yogesh K Aggarwal.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2) <u>Joseph A. Scafetta Jr. Reg. No. 26,803</u> .	(3)	
	Date of Interview: <u>25 February 2004</u> .	(4)	
E	Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2 Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: 1)	2)□ applicant's representative] e)⊠ No.	
C	Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
ld	entification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Aç	preement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	_	
THE INTE	bstance of Interview including description of the general na ached, or any other comments: A telephone call was made above restriction requirement. Applicant wants the restrictivation description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment wable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy wable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last NONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MA, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ACTION of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or	on the amendment to be mailed. The summary of the amendments that would the amendments that would the summary of the action has also at the office action has also at the summary of the action has also at the summary of the summary	would render the claims render the claims
1			
Examiner Attachmei 15. Patent and Tr 2TOL-413 (Re	· Note: You must sign this form unless it is an rate of a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if re	